

4. Programme Report – Verbal NYCC and NYMNPA

4.1 **JL** informed the Executive that finances were still at the Contractual level. If all projects were approved at this meeting then approximately £335,000 would be committed from the LEADER-NYMCH pot. Once the outcome of the projects is known, a re-profile will be done and presented at the next Executive Meeting.

4.2 **MH** gave a brief update on project activity since the last Executive meeting.

4.2.1 A spreadsheet 'update' from **MH** and **AT** had been sent to all Executive Group members prior to this meeting.

4.2.2 '60 Projects' had completed a 'Project Idea Form', '44' had been issued with a 'Full Application Form'. '12 projects' are expected to submit a project application for the Executive Group Meeting on 15 September 2009.

4.2 **AT** gave an update on the 'Parish Caretakers Scheme'. A 'Potential Projects' letter is to be issued to the Parishes informing them of the possibility of LEADER funding.

5. Declarations of Interest

Project Ref.	Project Name	Exec.Member Initials
LDR/M/0910/001	Phase 1 CIMM Museum	JH
LDR/M/0910/003	Hovingham Village Hall and Community Project	LD
LDR/M/0910/004	Lythe Village Hall Development Project	DP
LDR/M/0910/006	Community Planning in East Cleveland.	LB, PS
LDR/M/0910/007	Sustainable Community Halls	SR, PS
LDR/M/0910/009	Training Loftus and East Cleveland.	PS

6. Applications for Consideration

6.1 **LDR/M/0910/001 Phase 1 CIMM Museum**

Grant requested: £19,350 (95.09%)
Total Project Cost: £20,350

6.1.1 **JH** 'declared an interest' in this project and left the meeting room.

6.1.2 The project was **approved a grant of £19,350**.

6.1.3 **JH** re-entered the room

6.2 **LDR/M/0910/003 Hovingham Village Hall and Community Project**

Grant requested: £10,814 (91.54%)
Total Project Cost: £11,814

6.2.1 **LD** 'Declared an Interest' in this project.

6.2.2 The project was **approved a grant of £10,814**.

RL reminded the Executive Group that 'Outputs' were not a specific requirement as part of the LEADER Programme and subsequent contract. The lack of outputs shown in an application should therefore not be a reason to reject a project and vice versa.

6.3 LDR/M/0910/005 Mulgrave Community Bowling Green

Grant requested: £10,000 (12.14%)
Total Project Cost: £82,356

6.3.2 The project was **rejected on the basis that the scale of the development is not justified by need and a satisfactory level of development of the project would be achieved without LEADER funding.**

6.4 LDR/M/0910/004 Lythe Village Hall Development Project

Grant requested: £90,000 (32.62%)
Total Project Cost: £275,889

6.4.1 **DP** 'declared an interest' in this project.

6.4.2 The project was **rejected on the basis that funding of £30,000 and over would only be considered in exceptional circumstances. The amount of funding requested did not represent good value for money in terms of the extent to which the project would deliver the LEADER partnership objectives. The Executive would however, welcome a re-submission for a lower level of grant assistance for a more modest scheme.**

PC left the meeting at this point in proceedings.

6.5 LDR/M/0910/006 Community Planning in East Cleveland

Grant requested: £98,020 (76.57%)
Total Project Cost: £128,020

6.5.1 **LB** 'declared an interest' in this project and left the meeting room.
PS 'declared an interest' in this project and left the meeting room.

6.5.2 The project was **approved a grant of £60,000 for 2 years to March 2011, on the condition that a progress report is submitted after 12 months. It was recommended that the project fully engages with other community planning organisations.**

6.5.3 **LB** re-entered the meeting room

6.6 LDR/M/0910/007 Sustainable Community Halls

Grant requested: £95,406 (84.13%)
Total Project Cost: £113,406

6.6.1 **SR** having 'declared an interest' in this project left the meeting room, and did not return to the meeting afterwards.

PS 'declared an interest' in this project and 'remained' outside the meeting room.

6.6.2 The project was **rejected on the basis that the Executive felt further detail and clarification on the project was needed. The Applicant has been invited to re-submit.**

6.7 The project application '**LDR/M/0910/009 - Training Loftus and East Cleveland**' was deferred until the next Executive Group meeting. Further clarification on costings was requested. **PS** having 'declared an interest' in this project was still outside the meeting room. He re-entered at the end of the proceedings.

7. Broadband – Feedback from Task and Finish Group

7.1 **PH** to give 'Broadband' update at the next Executive Group training day in August.

8. Any Other Business

8.1 **MH** to circulate details regarding the next training meeting in a month's time.

9. Date, Time and Venue of Next Meeting

Tuesday 15 September 2009, 10.00 a.m. - 12.00, at NYMNPA Offices, Helmsley.

Signature:

Malcolm Bowes, Chair

Date: